



負数次のヘルダー総和法について

メタデータ	言語: English 出版者: 公開日: 2012-11-07 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: 三浦, 自治 メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	https://doi.org/10.32150/00000669

On the Hölder Mean of Negative Order (I)

Shiroji MIURA

*The Study of Mathematics, Hakodate Branch,
Hokkaido Gakugei University*

三浦自治：負数次のヘルダー総和法について

1. Introduction. Amnon Jakimovski and C. T. Rajagopal proved the following Tauberian theorem for the Hölder mean of negative order $-k$ in [1], [2].

Theorem of Jakimovski. Let k be a fixed positive integer. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\{s_n\}$ to be summable $(H, -k)$ to s is that $\{s_n\}$ should be summable (A) to s and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \binom{n}{k} \cdot \Delta^k s_{n-k} = 0.$$

Theorem of C. T. Rajagopal. (a) If (i) $\{s_n\}$ is summable (A) to s , and if (ii) for a positive integer k , $n^k \Delta^k s_{n-k} = 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{s_n\}$ is summable $(H, -k)$ to s .

(b) Conditions (i) and (ii) are also necessary for $\{s_n\}$ to be summable $(H, -k)$ to s .

In this paper, we will extend the above result to the case of some fixed positive fractional number k .

2. An extension of the above theorem. By the application of the difference of fractional order defined in [3], we will make an extension of the above theorems in the case of a positive fractional number k .

Theorem 1. If $\{s_n\}$ is summable (A) to s and if, for a fractional number k , $0 < k < 1$,

$$n^k S^{-k}(s_n) = 0(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then $\{s_n\}$ is summable $(H, -k)$ to s , where $s_n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n$.

Proof. Let us now put $k = -\beta$. From our assumption $0 < k < 1$, we have $-1 < \beta < 0$. Then our hypothesis is that

$$n^{-\beta} S^\beta(s_n) = 0(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\beta > -1),$$

that is, $(S^\beta(s_n)/A_n^\beta) \cdot (A_n^\beta/n^\beta) = 0(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$.

1) The difference of fractional order in [3] is defined by the operation of "inverse summation":

$$S^{-k}(s_n) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{-k-1} s_\nu,$$

which reduces, in the case $k=1$, to

$$S^{-1}(s_n) = s_n - s_{n-1},$$

provided we write $s_{-1} = 0$. Writing $S^k(s_n) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{k-1} s_\nu$, we have, for all real α, β , $S^\alpha \{S^\beta(s^n)\} = S^{\alpha+\beta}(s_n)$, in particular $S^{-\alpha} \{S^\alpha(s^n)\} = S^0(s_n) = s_n$. Also $S^1(s_n) = s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_n$, and $A_n^\alpha = \binom{\alpha+n}{n} = (-1)^n \binom{-\alpha-1}{n} = S^\alpha(1)$.

Since we usually write

$$C^\beta(s_n) = \frac{S^\beta(s_n)}{A_n^\beta} \quad (\beta > -1)$$

for the n -th Cesàro mean of order β of a sequence s_ν (defined for $\nu=0, 1, 2, \dots$), we get

$$C^\beta(s_n) \frac{A_n^\beta}{n^\beta} = 0(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\beta > -1).$$

From Stirling's Theorem, as is well known, we have

$$A_n^\beta = \frac{n^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} + \sum_{\nu=1}^n C_\nu n^{\beta-\nu} + O(n^{\beta-\nu-1}),$$

and it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n^\beta}{n^\beta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}.$$

Therefore $C^\beta(s_n) = 0(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ ($\beta > -1$).

Hence, $s_n = 0(1)$ (C, β), $n \rightarrow \infty$ ($\beta > -1$), that is,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = 0(C, \beta) \quad (\beta > -1).$$

Applying the theorem proved by A. F. Anderson in [4]²⁾, if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^\alpha a_n = 0(C, \beta, \beta > -1),$$

then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^1(a_n - a_{n+1}) = 0(C, \beta+1)$, that is, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(a_n - a_{n+1}) = 0(C, \beta+1).$$

Taking the n -th partial sum of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(a_n - a_{n+1})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)(a_\nu - a_{\nu+1}) &= (a_0 - a_1) + 2(a_1 - a_2) + \dots + (n+1)(a_n - a_{n+1}) \\ &= a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n - (n+1)a_{n+1} \\ &= s_n - (n+1)a_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$s_n - (n+1)a_{n+1} = 0(1)(C, \beta+1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From $\sum a_n = 0(C, \beta)$ ($\beta > -1$) and the inclusion theorem for Cesàro means, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = 0(C, \beta+1) \quad (\beta > -1),$$

that is

$$s_n = 0(1)(C, \beta+1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore we have

$$(n+1)a_{n+1} = 0(1)(C, \beta+1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

2) Theorem of Anderson.

For ρ real ($\rho \neq 0$), $k > -1$, if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{\rho-1} a_n$ is summable (C, k) , then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^\rho (a_n - a_{n+1})$ is summable $(C, k+1)$ with the same sum.

that is,

$$na_n = 0(1)(C, \beta+1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

As $\beta > -1$, we get

$$na_n = 0(1)(H, \beta+1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore by the well known Tauberian theorem, from

$$na_n = 0(1)(H, \beta+1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and our other hypothesis $s_n \rightarrow s(A) (n \rightarrow \infty)$, we have

$$s_n \rightarrow s(H, \beta), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

that is,

$$s_n \rightarrow s(H, -k), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus our theorem is proved.

Next, we will prove the case of a fractional number k for $k > 1$.

Theorem 2. If $\{s_n\}$ is summable (A) to s , and if, for a fractional number k , $k > 1$.

$$\binom{n}{m} \Delta^m s_{n-m} = 0(1)(H, -l),$$

then $\{s_n\}$ is summable $(H, -k)$ to s , where $k = l + m$, l is a fractional number for $0 < l < 1$ and m is a positive integer.

Proof. By our assumption

$$\binom{n}{m} \Delta^m s_{n-m} = 0(1)(H, -l),$$

we have

$$\binom{n}{m} \Delta^m s_{n-m} = 0(1)(H, -l+l),$$

that is,

$$\binom{n}{m} \Delta^m s_{n-m} = 0(1).$$

From the other assumption, $\{s_n\}$ is summable (A) to s ; and so, by the Jakimovski theorem, $\{s_n\}$ is summable $(H, -m)$ to s , or $\{h_n^{(-l-m)}\}$ is summable (H, l) to s , and consequently summable (A) to s too. Thus, from the proposition proved by O. Szász in [5]³⁾ $\{h_n^{(-l)}\}$ is also summable (A) to s . Also from our hypothesis,

$$\binom{n}{m} \Delta^m s_{n-m} = 0(1)(H, -l),$$

and so, by the Jakimovski theorem, $\{h_n^{(-l)}\}$ is summable $(H, -m)$ to s . Hence, $\{s_n\}$ is summable $(H, -l-m)$ to s , that is, $\{s_n\}$ is summable $(H, -k)$. Thus our theorem is proved.

In conclusion I should like to express my grateful thanks to Dr. G. Sunouchi and Dr. T. Tsuchikura for their kind suggestions.

3) Theorem of O. Szász. If $\{s_n\}$ is summable (A) to s and $\{t_n\}$ is a regular Hausdorff transform of $\{s_n\}$; then $\{t_n\}$ is summable (A) to s too.

On the Hölder Mean of Negative Order

References

1. Amnon Jakimovski: Some Tauberian theorems, *Pacific Journal* 7 (1957), 943-954.
2. C. T. Rajagopal: Simplified proofs of "some Tauberian theorems" of Jakimovski, *Pacific Journal* 7 (1957), 955-960.
3. L. S. Bosanquet: An extension of a theorem of Andersen, *Jour. London Math. Soc.* 25 (1950), 72-80.
4. A. F. Andersen: *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2), 27 (1928), 39-71.
5. O. Szász: On the product of two summability methods, *Ann. Soc. Pol. Math.*, 25 (1952), 75-84 (1953).
6. G. H. Hardy: *Divergent series* (Oxford, 1949).